

Examples:

1. On $(0, 1]$ the function

$$f(x) := |\log(x)|$$

Then $f \in L^p \quad \forall p \geq 1$,

but $f \notin L^\infty$

PF:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x^\alpha}{|\log(x)|} = 0 \quad (*)$$

$\forall \alpha > 0$

$$\int_{(0,1]} |f(x)|^p dx$$

$(0,1]$

$$|\log(x)| \leq x^{-\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha > 0,$$

once $x \leq x_0$
for some x_0 .

$$\Rightarrow \int_{(0,1]} |f(x)|^p dx$$

Choose α s.t.
 $-\alpha \cdot p > -1$

$$= \int_{[0,1]} |f(x)|^p dx + \int_{(0,1]} |f(x)|^p dx$$

$$= I < \infty \quad \forall p$$

$$\leq I + \int_{(0,1]} x^{-p\alpha} dx$$

$< \infty$



2. At borderline: on $B_{1/2}^4$
 We do not have

$$L_1^4 \times L_1^4 \rightarrow L_1^4$$

Counterexample:

$$f(x) := |\log(1-x)|^{1/2}$$

II:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \int_{B_{1/2}^4} |f(x)|^4 dx &= \int |\log(|x|)|^2 dx \\ &= \text{vol}(S^3) \int_0^{1/2} |\log r|^2 r^3 dr \\ &< \infty \\ &\text{(example 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(r) = \frac{1}{2} |\log(r)|^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{B^4} |\nabla f|^4 dx$$

$$= \frac{\text{vol}(S^3)}{2} \int_0^{1/2} \frac{1}{(\log r)^2} \frac{1}{r} dr$$

$$= \frac{\text{vol}(S^3)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\log r} \right) \Big|_0^{1/2} < \infty \quad \square$$

But $L^4_1 \times L^4_1 \rightarrow L^4_1$

fails

because

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f^2(r) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log r \\ &= \frac{1}{r}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{B^4} |\nabla f^2|^4 dx$$

$$= \text{vol}(S^3) \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^4 \cdot r^3 dr$$

$$= \text{vol}(S^3) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} dr$$

$$= \infty$$

We will be working with

$$G \subseteq \text{matrix group} \subseteq M(n \times n)$$

$$\text{Ad}(P) \subseteq \text{End}(P \times_{\Sigma} \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\text{ad}(P) \subseteq \text{End}(P \times_{\Sigma} \mathbb{R}^1)$$

are subbundles

Recall To show $U(n)$ is
a Lie group

$$\Phi: \begin{cases} M(n \times n) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n \times n) \\ A \mapsto AA^* - \text{id} \end{cases}$$

Show that 0 is a regular
value.

$\Rightarrow U(n) = \Phi^{-1}(0)$ is
a Lie group.

$\mathcal{C}f_k^P := L_k^P$ - completion of $\mathcal{C}f$

↑ space of connections on $P \rightarrow M$

$\mathcal{G}_k^P := L_{k+1}^P$ - completion of $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma(\text{Ad}P)$

Propⁿ: If $w(L_k^P) = k - \frac{p}{n} > 0$,

then \mathcal{G}_k^P is a Banach Lie group

(i.e. a Banach manifold and multiplication/inverses are smooth maps)


PF sketch:

$$L_k^P(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(E)) \rightarrow L_k^P(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Herm}(E))$$
$$u \mapsto uu^* - \text{id}$$

is well-def. and smooth by mult. above condition.

Show: 0 is a regular value.

$\Rightarrow \text{Ad}(P) = \phi^{-1}(0)$ is
a $L_k^p(\sigma; \nu)$ -
Banach unfd.

Compos & inverses are
smooth by Sobolev
multip. 



exponential map is
smooth because
composition

$$f \in L_k^p(E)$$

$$\phi: E \rightarrow F \text{ smooth}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \circ f \in L_k^p(F) \text{ if}$$

$$w(L_k^p) > 0,$$

(comp. result.)

Prop^h: $w(L_{k+1}^P) > 0$

then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^P \times \mathfrak{a}_k^P \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_k^P$$

is a smooth action $\rho_{L_{k+1}^P} \in \mathfrak{a}_k^P$

Def: $\mu(A) = A + \underbrace{\mu \rho_A^{-1}}_{\in L_k^P}$

$\mu \in \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^P$

$A \in \mathfrak{a}_k^P$

$\in L_k^P$
by cult. □

\mathfrak{g}_2^2 borderline case.

$$\begin{aligned} w(L_2^2) &= 2 - \frac{4}{2} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_2^2 := \left\{ \mu \in L_2^2(X^4; \text{Eud}(E)) \right\}$$

$\mu(x) \in \text{Ad}(P)_x$
almost everywhere }

Prop G_2^2 is a group
 $u, v \in G_2^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 uv &= \underbrace{(\nabla^2 u)}_{\in L^2} \cdot v + 2 \underbrace{(\nabla u)}_{\in L^2_1} \underbrace{(\nabla v)}_{\in L^2_1} \\ &\quad + u \underbrace{(\nabla^2 v)}_{\in L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in L^\infty$
 because G is compact
 $\in L^2$ because $u, v \in L^\infty$

By Hölder-ineq. $L^4 \times L^4 \rightarrow L^2$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2(u \cdot v) \in L^2$$

$$\text{Similarly } \nabla(u \cdot v) \in L^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{u \cdot v} \in L^2_2$$

$$u \cdot v \in \text{Ad}(P) \text{ a.e.}$$



Propⁿ:

$$\mathcal{G}_2^2 \times \mathcal{A}_1^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^2$$

is a topological group action

Pf: multiple result in borderline case. □

Rk (Pistr)

\mathcal{G}_2^2 considered with the topology induced from

$$\subseteq L_2^2(X^+, \text{End}(E))$$

$$\cap L^\infty$$

Why should we care about the borderline case:

χ^2_1 if A_0 is a smooth con.

$$a \mapsto F_{A_0 + a} = F_{A_0} + \underbrace{d_{A_0} a}_{\in L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{[a, a]}_{\in L^2}$$

$L^k \in L^k$

$$\Rightarrow \|F_{A_0 + a}\|_{L^2} \& \|a\|_{L^2}$$

control
 L^2 -norm of
 a

and $\|F_{A_0 + a}\|_{L^2}^2$ has topological significance for ASD connections

Propⁿ: If $w(L_{k+1}^P) > 0$
($\Rightarrow w(L_k^P) > -1$), then

$$\mathcal{A}_k^P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^2 \text{ (if } k \geq 1\text{)}$$

induces an injection

$$\mathcal{A}_k^P / \mathcal{I}_{k+1}^P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^2 / \mathcal{I}_2^2.$$

Pf idea:

Suppose $[A_1]_{\mathcal{I}_2^2} = [A_2]_{\mathcal{I}_2^2}$
for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_k^P$. That
means $\exists u \in \mathcal{I}_2^2$ s.t.

$$u(A_1) = A_2.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_1 + \underbrace{u' d_{A_1} u}_{\text{eqn (1)}} = A_2$$

$$\Rightarrow u' d_{A_1} u = \frac{A_2 - A_1}{\in L_k^P}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{A_0} u = u (A_2 - A_1) - a_1 u$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{where} \\ A_0 \text{ smooth} \\ A_1 = A_0 + a_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

& use multipl. results.

$$\boxed{\text{For instance } w(L_k^A) = 0}$$

Multipl. of borderline

$$\Rightarrow a_1 u \in L^k \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

$$\frac{u (A_2 - A_1)}{e^{c|x|}} \in L^k$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{A_0} u \in L^q \quad \forall q$$

$$\Rightarrow u \in L^q_1 \quad \forall q$$

$\hookrightarrow C^0$ for $q > 4$.

Now repeat

$$d_{A_0} u = \underbrace{u(A_2 - A_1)}_{\in L_1^P} - \underbrace{a_1 u}_{\in L_1^0}$$

by mult. above
 borderline ($\omega(L_1^0) > 0$)

$$\Rightarrow u \in L_2^P \dots$$

until $u \in L_{k+1}^P$ 

This technique (in the proof) is called

bootstrapping

Def⁴

$$B_k^P := \mathcal{C}_k^P / \mathcal{O}_{k+1}^P$$

it is called the configuration space

Propⁿ: For $\omega(L_{k+1}^p) \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$,
the configuration space
 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ is a Hausdorff space.

Recall:

A space X is Hausdorff
iff $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X \times X$
is closed.

Similarly if $G \curvearrowright X$ ^{space} then
 G _{top group}

X/G is Hausdorff iff

$$\Gamma = \{(x, gx) \mid x \in X, g \in G\} \\ \subseteq X \times X$$

is closed.

Proof of Propⁿ:

By the previous Propⁿ,
it is enough to consider

$$\mathbb{R}_1^2 = \mathcal{V}_1^2 / \mathcal{Q}_2^2.$$

$$\Gamma = \{(A, u(A)) \mid A \in \mathcal{V}_1^2, u \in \mathcal{Q}_2^2\}$$

Suppose $(A_i, u_i(A_i))$ is a
sequence in Γ converging
in L_1^2 to (A, B)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Write } A_i = A_0 + a_i \\ u(A_i) = A_0 + b_i \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} a_i, b_i \in L_1^2 \end{array}$$

equivalently

$$\begin{array}{l} a_i \xrightarrow{L_1^2} a := A - A_0 \\ b_i \xrightarrow{L_1^2} b := B - A_0 \end{array}$$

$$\omega(L_1^4(X)) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 + b_i &= u_i(A_i) \\
&= A_0 + a_i + u_i d_{A_i} u_i^{-1} \\
&= A_0 + a_i + u_i d_{A_0} u_i^{-1} \\
&\quad + u_i a_i u_i^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow u_i^{-1} (b_i - a_i) - a_i u_i^{-1} \\
= d_{A_0} u_i^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Leftrightarrow) \quad d_{A_0} u_i^{-1} &= \underbrace{u_i^{-1} (b_i - a_i)}_{\in L^2_1 \text{ bounded}} - \underbrace{a_i u_i^{-1}}_{\in L^2_1 \text{ bounded}} \\
(*) \quad &\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{L^4 \text{-bounded}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow u_i \text{ is } L^4_1 \text{-bounded}$$

$$(*) \quad d_{A_0} u_i^{-1} = \underbrace{u_i^{-1} (b_i - a_i)}_{L^4_1 \cap L^\infty \text{ bounded}} - \underbrace{a_i u_i^{-1}}_{\in L^2_1 \text{ bounded}} \underbrace{\hspace{2em}}_{\in L^4_1 \cap L^\infty \text{ bounded}}$$

by Sobolev
limit at
boundary:

$$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{L^2_1 \text{-bounded}}$$

(by $L^4 \supset L^\infty \times L^2 \rightarrow L^2$)
b.t.d

$\Rightarrow d_{\lambda_0} u_i$ is b.t.d in L^2_1

$\Rightarrow u_i$ is b.t.d in L^2_2

Banach-Alaoglu $\Rightarrow \exists u \in L^2_2$ s.t.

for $u_i \rightarrow u$ weakly in L^2_2
a subsequence

Now $L^2_2 \hookrightarrow L^2_1$ compact
(Riesz-Schauder)

Fact: Cpt operators
turn weakly convergent sequences
into strongly

So: $u_i \rightarrow u$ in L^2_1

$\Rightarrow u_i \rightarrow u$ a.e.

$\Rightarrow u \in \text{Ad}(P)$ a.e. everywhere

It remains to show:

$$u(A) = B \in L^2_\gamma$$

$$d_{A_0} u_i^{-1} = u_i^{-1} (b_i - a_i) - a_i u_i^{-1}$$

converges $\rightarrow b-a$
in $L^2_\gamma \cap L^\infty$ in L^2_γ

$$\rightarrow \bar{u}^{-1} (b-a) - a \bar{u}^{-1}$$

\uparrow
in L^2

by $L^2_\gamma \hookrightarrow L^4$

$$L^4 \times L^4 \rightarrow L^2 \quad \text{by Hölder's ineq.}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{A_0} \bar{u}^{-1} = \bar{u}^{-1} (b-a) - a \bar{u}^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow u d_{A_0} \bar{u}^{-1} = b-a - u a \bar{u}^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_0 + u d_{A_0} \bar{u}^{-1} = A_0 + b-a - u a \bar{u}^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A_0 + a + u d_{A_0} u' \\ = A_0 + b - u a u' \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A + u d_A u' = B$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u(A) = B$$

equality L^2 .

